STUDYING F ELEMENT AND INSULIN SIGNALING EVOLUTION IN



DROSOPHILA USING COMPARATIVE GENOMICS

Veronica Gomes '24 and Dr. Jennifer Kennell Vassar College Department of Biology



INTRODUCTION

Comparative gene annotations provide important data sets that can be further analyzed to address many scientific questions, especially those involving the effects of evolutionary time on chromosomes and genes. This study consisted of gene annotations and genomic analysis for two separate projects under the Genomics Education Partnership – the F element and the Drosophila Pathways.

F ELEMENT

The Muller F element is the smallest chromosome in Drosophila melanogaster. Although it is heterochromatic, the F element has a high gene density and Drosophila exhibits high gene expression. Since the F elements of D. bipectinata, D. ananassae, D. kikkawai, and D. takahashii show substantial expansion

Chromosome

chromosome. From

"Doť

Figure 1: The chromosomes of Drosophila, including

tps://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/figure?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0141544.g00

PATHWAYS

The Pathways Project focuses on

different Drosophila species. This

examining and annotating the

gene, Sdr. The secreted decoy of

annotating genes in important

biological pathways across

part of the project involved

InR (Sdr) is part of the insulin

receptor signaling pathway.

the F element (fourth chromosome) or the 'Dot'

RESULTS AND INTERESTING FINDINGS

Extra Exons and Introns: Both genes annotated in contig 7 of D. bipectinata, Ekar and Gat, have an additional CDS compared to their D. melanogaster orthologs. These additional exons and introns were also found in the same genes in D. ananassae. Since these two species are more closely related to each other than to D. melanogaster, the gain of the extra CDSs might suggest that this change occurred in a common ancestor.



compared to that of D. melanogaster, the F element project involves annotating genes on the F element of these species to examine factors that contributed to the expansion.



Figure 2: The insulin signaling pathway of Drosophila melanogaster. From <u>https://thegep.org/pathways/</u>

METHODS

Comparative annotations were produced, and gene models were constructed after evaluating different lines of evidence on the UCSC Genome Browser, such as RNA-Seq data, gene predictions, and repeats, and using other bioinformatic tools (e.g., NCBI BLAST). D. melanogaster served as a reference point when it came to comparing chromosomes, genes, or other genetic elements across Drosophila species because there is so much information known about it. The final gene models were checked using the GEP Genome Model Checker.

Figure 6: Genomic region and the accompanying evidence showing the position of the extra exon in Ekar in D. bipectinata.

GENE	SPECIES	CODING SPAN/bp	TOTAL INTRON SIZE/ bp	TOTAL CDS SIZE/bp	Larger/Longer Genes: The gene annotated in the contigs of D. b	
Gat	D. melanogaster	4,676	2,777	1,899	D. melanogaster orthologs. This is	
	D. bipectinata	85,704	83,793	1,911	the introns of the genes of D. bipe as described in the genes of D. bipe	
Ekar	D. melanogaster	10,213	7,528	2,685	table (Table 1). This also suggests	
	D. bipectinata	6,46,586	643,946	2,640	might be a contributing factor t	
Table 1: Tab	ble summarizing the diffe	erences in gene ch	aracteristics of the	genes annotated	bipactingta	

in contig 7 of D. bipectinata and their D. melanogaster orthologs.

annotated in the contigs of D. bipecintata have much longer coding spans than their D. melanogaster orthologs. This is due to the presence of more repeat elements in the introns of the genes of D. bipectinata

as described in the gene characteristics table (Table 1). This also suggests that the increased number of repeat elements might be a contributing factor to the overall expansion of the F element of D. bipectinata.





Figure 3: Examining the gene, anne, in D.bipectinata using the UCSC Genome Browser

Felement: Annotations of the coding region exons (CDSs) of the genes in contigs 2, 7, and 11 of the F element of Drosophila bipectinata.

to

3PNWU114 Search expires on 08-13 02:35 am Download A

Pathways: Annotations of the
coding regions and transcription
start sites of Sdr in D. ananassae, D.
erecta, D. grimshawi, D. suzukii, D.
yakuba, D. persimilis, D. mojavensis,
D. virilis, and D. willistoni.

D. simulans	melanogaster group	
D. sechellia		lr

obscura group

virillis group

repleta group

grimshawi group

D. willistoni willistoni group

retrotransposons of the same Gypsy family in the areas immediately before and after the "gene", suggesting that this is a retrotransposed pseudogene.

Retrotransposed Psuedogene: The

melanogaster has been copied as a

bipectinata. This is supported by the

results from blastx alignments of the

D. melanogaster CDSs to contig 11

and several of the evidence tracks

on the UCSC Genome Browser.

Additionally, there are LTR

single exon gene in contig 11 of D.

multi-exon gene of CG9922 in D.



Figure 7: Genomic region showing the location of the retrotransposed gene, CG9922, in contig 11 of D. bipectinata, along with the RNA-Seq expression data as supporting evidence.

SPECIES	MOST UPSTREAM	NEAREST UPSTREAM	TARGET GENE	NEAREST DOWNSTREAM	MOST DOWNSTREAM
D. melanogaster	Rp\$5b	VhaPPA1-1	Sdr	CG14861	RpL10Aa
	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)
D. ananassae	Rp\$5b	VhaPPA1-1	Sdr	CG14861	RpL10Aa
	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)
D. erecta	Rp\$5b	VhaPPA1-1	Sdr	CG14861	RpL10Aa
	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)
D. grimshawi	VhaPPA1-1	Pkd2	Sdr	Tak1	CG14861
	(+)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(-)
D. mojavensis	VhaPPA1-1	Pkd2	Sdr	CG14861	dpr9
	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)
D. persimilis	VhaPPA1-1	CG11407	Sdr	TfIIFa	CG14861
	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)
D. suzukii	Rp\$5b	VhaPPA1-1	Sdr	cannot be	cannot be
	(-)	(-)	(+)	determined	determined
D. virilis	VhaPPA1-A	Pkd2	Sdr	CG14861	Cyp28a5
	(+)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(-)
D. willistoni	Hsc70-4	VhaPPA1-1	Sdr	CG14861	dpr-9
	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)
D. yakuba	Rp\$5b	VhaPPA1-1	Sdr	CG14861	RpL10Aa
	(+)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(-)

Table 2: Table showing syntemy between D. melanogaster and each target species. The symbols (+/-) indicate the strand on which the gene is present.

Conservation of Sdr: The coding regions of Sdr were found to be well-conserved across the Drosophila species that were annotated in this study, and synteny was almost always maintained, especially in species that are more closely related to D. melanogaster. This level of conservation suggests that this gene has an essential function in this biological pathway.



Figure 8: Phylogenetic tree generated for the protein sequences of *Sdr* in each target species.



to

coordinates of the CDSs of a gene in the target species.



genomes become so large for mammals.

Pathways: It is anticipated that the comparative gene annotations of Sdr in different Drosophila species, in addition to network analysis methods, will be able to provide insight into the evolution and function of the important insulin signaling pathway.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my faculty mentor, Dr. Kennell, for her constant support, encouragement, and invaluable guidance throughout the project. I would also like to thank my fellow Student Fellows, Salome Ambokadze and Trina Chou, for their cooperation and helpfulness. This project has been possible because of the Genomics Education Partnership.

Figure 5: Phylogenetic tree depicting the hypothesized evolutionary relationships between the Drosophila species. The species annotated for the Pathways Project are highlighted in red. From https://peerj.com/articles/226/